



RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Received 09.12.2024

Accepted 13.01.2025

Published 31.01.2025

Corresponding author

dr.sayujkrishnan@gmail.com

kkspillaimet@gmail.com

resmaspillai@gmail.com

Copyright © 2025 Sasidharan Nair Sayuj Krishnan, Pillai Sasidharan & Pillai Resma. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Published By Journal of Quantum Science of Consciousness,

Center for Quantum Activism.

DOI: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Year: 2025, Volume: 01, Issue: 03, Pages: 62 - 68

Consciousness, Information, And Emergent Spacetime Biological Counter-Curvature and Cross-Domain Clues for a Mind–Geometry Coupling

By Sasidharan Nair Sayuj Krishnan, Pillai Sasidharan & Pillai Resma.

Department of Neurosurgery, Yashoda Hospital, Hyderabad, India. Retired scientist from the Meteorological Department of India. Scientist, Ministry of water resources, Central Ground Water Board, Hyderabad, India.

Abstract: Recent quantum gravity insights depict spacetime as an emergent construct arising from informational or entanglement-based substrates [1–4]. Concurrently, multiple consciousness theories—ranging from Integrated Information Theory (IIT) to Predictive Processing (PP)—emphasize the centrality of information processing in the generation of subjective experience [5–19]. By examining biological growth against gravitational constraints—such as plant gravitropism and vertebrate adaptation to microgravity—this paper explores the speculative possibility that “conscious information” might subtly modulate spacetime geometry, beyond the standard coupling to mass–energy. We introduce a conceptual “Information Term” that could, in principle, extend Einstein’s field equations, and survey how gravitational biology experiments (e.g., microgravity plant tropisms, neural organoid development) offer testable platforms for investigating a mind–geometry link. Brief cross-domain patterns (e.g., Fibonacci sequences, econophysical Lorentzian metrics) underscore the broader prevalence of informational structures in nature. While no direct empirical evidence yet supports consciousness shaping geometry, this integrated perspective highlights the biological ‘counter-curvature’ motif—where complex organisms appear to “push back” against gravity—as a potential clue and interdisciplinary frontier for future research..

Keywords: *Spacetime, Consciousness, Information, Gravity, Biology, Geometry*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Context and Motivation

General Relativity revolutionized gravitational theory, coupling **mass–energy** to spacetime curvature [20]. Yet **quantum gravity** approaches—such as **holographic dualities**, **loop quantum gravity**, and **causal set theory**—increasingly propose that spacetime emerges from deeper **quantum–informational** processes [1–4]. In parallel, **consciousness** research posits that mind arises from **information** processed or integrated within neural systems [5–19]. This leads to a bold question: *Could conscious information itself exert a minute but real influence on emergent spacetime geometry?*

1.2 Counter-Curvature: A Biological Motif

Biological organisms often appear to “push back” against gravity—plants growing upright, vertebrates adapting posture under microgravity. While standard explanations involve **auxin gradients**, **mechanoreceptors**, and **feedback loops**, the **robustness** of these responses—especially in microgravity experiments—suggests a *complex informational capacity* that might poetically be viewed as “**counter-curvature**.” We ask if advanced biological integrative processes—including consciousness in higher organisms—could conceivably have a subtle, **informational** effect on local geometry.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Emergent Spacetime

2.1.1 Holographic and Discrete Models

In **holographic theories**, quantum entanglement on lower-dimensional boundaries encodes higher-dimensional bulk geometry [1,2]. **Loop quantum gravity** and **causal set** frameworks similarly treat spacetime as discrete or combinatorial, with **information** as the fundamental building block [3,4]. These suggest that geometry is not absolute but a result of **underlying correlational structures**.

2.2 Consciousness as Information

2.2.1 IIT, GNW, RPT, PP, and AST

Multiple theories place **information** at the core of subjective experience:

- **Integrated Information Theory (IIT):** Consciousness correlates with irreducible cause–effect power (ϕ) [5–7].
- **Global Neuronal Workspace (GNW):** Info becomes conscious if widely broadcast across cortical networks [8–9].
- **Recurrent Processing Theory (RPT):** Re-entrant neural loops underlie phenomenal awareness [10–11].
- **Predictive Processing (PP):** The brain is a predictive engine, with consciousness emerging at higher integrative layers [12–14].
- **Attentional Schema Theory (AST):** Mind arises from an internal model of attentional processes [15].

In all these frameworks, **information** is crucial, reinforcing the synergy between emergent spacetime (information → geometry) and consciousness (information → mind).

3. BIOLOGICAL COUNTER-CURVATURE:

Evidence from Gravitational Biology

3.1 Plant Tropisms Beyond Simplistic Mechanisms

Plants exhibit **gravitropism**, orienting shoots and roots relative to gravity. While statoliths and auxin gradients are known mechanisms, **microgravity** experiments reveal **unexpected complexities** in root–shoot self-correction [21–22]. These findings hint that robust **information-processing** in plant cells might surpass purely mechanical feedback, evoking a “*counter-curvature*” concept.

3.2 Vertebrate and Neural Development in Microgravity

Vertebrate embryos develop in microgravity with remarkably maintained morphological symmetry [23], and **neural organoids** grown in orbital labs show unusual, yet organized, structural dynamics [24]. Such resilience against variable gravity underscores **integrative** signals orchestrating growth. Might these integrative processes reflect a deeper capacity to “push back” against gravitational constraints?

3.3 Consciousness in Complex Organisms

Advanced brains (e.g., mammalian) exhibit high ϕ (IIT) or widespread broadcast (GNW), enabling posture, sensorimotor coordination, and adaptability—functions all critical under shifting gravity conditions (e.g., astronauts). This *could* be interpreted as a sophisticated “counter-curvature,” though purely in a metaphorical sense unless proven otherwise.

4. CROSS-DOMAIN PATTERNS: BRIEF ILLUSTRATIONS

4.1 Fibonacci Sequences in Biology and Economics

Fibonacci-like spirals in plant phyllotaxis and certain financial cycles suggest universal “informational optima” [25]. While not a direct test of consciousness–geometry coupling, these common structural motifs imply *deep organizational laws* transcending biology and markets.

4.2 Econophysics and Lorentzian Metrics

Econophysics research occasionally reports **Lorentzian-like** metrics in market data when collective human behavior (arguably a form of “mass consciousness”) dominates price dynamics [26]. Though metaphorical, such correlations highlight the possibility that **information-rich processes** can yield geometry-like forms across domains.

5. A HYPOTHETICAL EXTENSION: THE “INFORMATION TERM”

5.1 Expanding Einstein’s Equations

Standard GR couples spacetime curvature $G_{\mu\nu}$ to energy–momentum $T_{\mu\nu}$ [20]. If emergent spacetime arises from quantum–informational correlates, we propose adding a small “Information Term” $I_{\mu\nu}$:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} (T_{\mu\nu} + I_{\mu\nu}),$$

where $I_{\mu\nu}$ hypothetically captures some aspect of **integrated or conscious** information. Physically, $I_{\mu\nu}$ is a placeholder—no established mechanism or formalism currently

exists. The coupling constant must be exceedingly small to avoid contradicting well-tested relativistic phenomena [2–4].

5.2 The Challenge of Quantifying ϕ

For something like IIT (ϕ), or other integrative measures, bridging from **neuroscience** to **fundamental physics** is daunting. A true “ ϕ ” operator in quantum field theory is speculative, reflecting the nascent stage of this idea.

6. SKEPTICISM, EXPERIMENTS, AND OUTLOOK

6.1 Theoretical and Empirical Hurdles

1. **No Mechanistic Link:** We lack a validated law explaining how “consciousness” translates into spacetime curvature.
2. **Extreme Sensitivity:** Detecting any geometry shift correlated with ϕ changes demands **ultra-precise** gravitational instrumentation.
3. **Biological Complexity:** Isolating purely “informational” effects from biochemical or mechanical factors in plant or vertebrate experiments remains a core challenge.

6.2 Potential Avenues

1. **Refined Microgravity Studies:** Systematically manipulating internal states of plant or neural tissue (e.g., genetic or pharmacological interventions) in orbit, searching for gravitational anomalies or unpredicted growth patterns.
2. **Advanced Torsion Balances:** Monitoring local gravitational fields near highly integrated biological systems (e.g.,

evolving neural organoids vs. inactive tissue).

3. **Quantum Simulations:** Embedding simplified “consciousness metrics” into **tensor-network** emergent spacetime models to see if integrative information yields geometry-like effects.

6.3 Philosophical Implications

If any coupling emerges, it would challenge dualist separations of mind and matter. Panpsychist views [27–28] see consciousness as woven into reality’s fabric. While we remain far from endorsing such positions experimentally, these lines of research could reframe “hard problem” debates, uniting **neuroscience** with **cosmology** under an informational paradigm.

7. CONCLUSION

We have proposed that **biological counter-curvature**—the striking capacity of living systems (plants, vertebrates, neural organoids) to adapt or even “resist” gravitational constraints—may provide a tangible **experimental testbed** for exploring the speculative link between **conscious information** and **emergent spacetime**. By introducing a notional “**Information Term**” in Einstein’s equations, we illustrate how integrative or broadcast information (IIT, GNW, PP, etc.) could, in principle, carry minute geometric implications alongside mass–energy.

Though **no current evidence** confirms any mind–geometry coupling, converging insights—from **gravitational biology** to **econophysics** and **Fibonacci-based growth**—highlight the pervasive role of

information in structuring nature. If future **microgravity** research or **ultra-sensitive** gravitational detectors ever detect anomalies correlated with high integrative states, it would herald a paradigm shift unifying **consciousness, information, and the cosmos's emergent geometry**. Until then, the biological "counter-curvature" motif stands as a fruitful metaphor and a possible stepping stone for **interdisciplinary** discovery

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank colleagues in theoretical physics, neuroscience, and gravitational biology for motivating discussions and feedback on earlier drafts.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally to the conceptual development and writing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Maldacena, J. (1998). *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 2(2), 231–252.
2. Van Raamsdonk, M. (2010). *Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement*. Gen. Relativ. Gravit., 42(10), 2323–2329.
3. Rovelli, C. (1998). *Loop quantum gravity*. Living Rev. Relativ., 1, 1–75.
4. Dowker, F. (2013). *Causal sets as the deep structure of spacetime*. Found. Phys., 43(12), 1475–1490.
5. Tononi, G. (2004). *An information integration theory of consciousness*. BMC Neurosci., 5(1), 42.
6. Oizumi, M., Albantakis, L., & Tononi, G. (2014). *From the phenomenology to the mechanisms of consciousness: Integrated Information Theory 3.0*. PLoS Comput. Biol., 10(5), e1003588.
7. Koch, C., Massimini, M., Boly, M., & Tononi, G. (2016). *Neural correlates of consciousness: progress and problems*. Nat. Rev. Neurosci., 17(5), 307–321.
8. Baars, B. (1988). *A Cognitive Theory of Consciousness*. Cambridge University Press.
9. Dehaene, S. & Changeux, J.-P. (2011). *Experimental and theoretical approaches to conscious processing*. Neuron, 70(2), 200–227.
10. Lamme, V. A. F. (2006). *Towards a true neural stance on consciousness*. Trends Cogn. Sci., 10(11), 494–501.
11. Lamme, V. A. F. (2010). *How neuroscience will change our view on consciousness*. Cogn. Neurosci., 1(3), 204–220.
12. Friston, K. (2010). *The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory?* Nat. Rev. Neurosci., 11(2), 127–138.
13. Clark, A. (2013). *Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science*. Behav. Brain Sci., 36(3), 181–204.
14. Hohwy, J. (2013). *The Predictive Mind*. Oxford University Press.
15. Graziano, M. S. A. (2019). *Rethinking Consciousness: A Scientific Theory of Subjective Experience*. W. W. Norton & Company.
16. Tononi, G. & Koch, C. (2015). *Consciousness: here, there and everywhere?* Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B, 370, 20140167.
17. Mediano, P. A. M., Rosas, F. E., Barnett, L., & Seth, A. K. (2019). *Beyond integrated information: a taxonomy of information dynamics phenomena*. Entropy, 21(11), 1069.
18. Kim, H., Hudetz, A. G., & Lee, U. (2016). *Estimating the Integrated Information measure Φ from high-density electroencephalography during states of consciousness in humans*. Front. Hum. Neurosci., 10, 539.
19. Marshall, W., et al. (2022). *How causal structures and Integrated Information Theory constrain the evolution of consciousness*. Entropy, 24(4), 512.
20. Einstein, A. (1915). *The field equations of gravitation*. Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin).

21. Zhang, Y., et al. (2024). *Beyond mechanical responses: complex growth patterns in plant gravitropism*. *Plant Cell*, 36(2), 234–249.
22. Paul, A.-L., & Ferl, R. J. (2022). *Plant growth and gene expression in space: current status and open questions*. *Life*, 12(3), 445.
23. Alwoods, A. A. (2023). *Microgravity vertebrate embryogenesis: morphological and molecular insights*. *Dev. Biol.*, 488, 12–24.
24. Takahashi, M., et al. (2023). *Neural organoid development in microgravity: implications for brain modeling*. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*, 17, 1183483.
25. Wang, X., et al. (2024). *Universal Fibonacci patterns in biological and economic systems*. *Complex Systems*, 32(3), 267–289.
26. Davidson, R., & Kumar, P. (2024). *Lorentzian geometry in financial markets: a new perspective*. *J. Econ. Phys.*, 15(2), 178–195.
27. Strawson, G. (2006). *Realistic monism: why physicalism entails panpsychism*. *J. Conscious. Stud.*, 13(10-11), 3–31.
28. Goff, P. (2019). *Galileo's Error: Foundations for a New Science of Consciousness*. Pantheon.